THE ADDITION OF WATER AND ALCOHOLS TO ALLENRS

CATALYZED BY MERCURIC SALTS

Robert D. Bach¹

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

and

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

(Reoeived in USA **26** September **1968;** received in UR for publication **16** October **19613)** The preferred mechanism for the oxymercuration of olefins involves a bridged or n-complexed mercurinium ion intermediate.² Several investigators have recently suggested that mercurinium ion intermediates are involved in the alkoxymercuration of allenes.^{3,4} Waters and Caserio⁵ have also reported the addition of mercuric acetate to optically active 2,3-pentadiene in methanol to proceed by a trans addition affording an optically active product.

We wish to report our results on the hydration and alkoxymercuration of optically active 1,2 cyclononadiene (1) and to suggest mercuric oxide and boron trifluoride etherate as an effective catalyst for the addition of alcohols to substituted allenes. Since the p-orbitals involved in the formation of the mercurinium ion 2 are orthogonal to the p-orbitals of the adjacent double bond, the oxymercuration of an optically active allene is of particular interest. If $\hat{\chi}$ is of sufficient stability to overcome the considerable allylic resonance energy to be gained by a 90° C_1-C_2 bond rotation, an optically active product will result. Alternatively, if the reaction proceeds through a planar allylic carbonium ion 3, the resulting products should be racemic.

The mercuric oxide - boron trifluoride etherate catalyzed addition of ethanol to optically active 1,2-cyclononadiene⁶ afforded 3-ethoxy-cis-cyclononene $(\frac{1}{2})^3$ that had $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ + 17.7^o (C 1.21 CH_2Cl_2). Similarily, the addition of methanol to $\frac{1}{k}$ catalyzed by HgO.BF₃ afforded optically active 3-methoxy-cis-cyclononene ($\{\lambda\}$ [α]²⁵ + 7.3 (C 3.11 CH₂C1₂). The hydration of optically

active $\frac{1}{k}$ with mercuric sulfate and sulfuric acid³ in water-dioxane solvent gave 3-hydroxy-ciscyclononene (6) that had $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{\text{D}}^{25} + 8.3^{\circ}$ (C 6.00 CH₂Cl₂). Compound 6 was converted by the Williamson ether synthesis to 5 that had $[a]_n^{25}$ + 5.3[°] (C 4.40 CH₂C1₂).

The formation of optically active products in the above reactions provides convincing evidence for the intermediacy of the mercurinium ion 2 . The formation of the cis-product from 1 requires attack of XHg⁺ cis to the hydrogen on the adjacent double bond, i.e., from the "outside" of the ring. Although we have not yet established whether the solvent is attacking ζ by trans addition or by front side displacement of HgX, the direction of solvolysis is the same for both hydration and addition of alcohols to λ . The direction of attack by solvent on λ determines the absolute configuration at C_1 , but has no effect on the stereochemistry of the double bond in the product. The intermediate organomercury derivative 7 is not isolated under these conditions, but acid catalyzed cleavage of the carbon-mercury bond⁷ results as outlined below. Solvolysis of 2

occurred almost exclusively at C_1 affording allylic products with only trace quantities of cyclononanone being observed by vpc.³ The structure of \oint was confirmed by spectral and chemical means. The position of the double bond was established by Jones oxidation of the allylic alcohol to afford the conjugated ketone, 2-cyclononenone.¹⁰ Catalytic hydrogenation of ζ gave methoxycyclononane which was identical in all respects to an authentic sample synthesized from cyclononanone.

The carbon atom attacked by solvent observed here and previously³⁻⁵ is in disagreement with a report by Petrov and Fedorova⁸ which states that ketones are the only products formed by the addition of water and alcohols to allenes irrespective of the structure of the diene. Terminal allenes, however, do afford ketones under the above reaction conditions.⁹

The addition of water and alcohols to racemic 1,2-cyclotridecadiene (g) yielded products with the same orientation of addition to the allene, but with a trans-double bond. Thus, treatment of β with HgSO₄.H₂SO₄ in methanol gave a 65% yield of 3-methoxy-trans-cyclotridecene. Similarly, the catalytic action of HgO.BF₃ on β in ethanol afforded 3-ethoxy-trans-cyclotridecene in 78% yield. The structure of the addition products to 8 was established in a similar manner to that used for 4. The assignment of a trans-double bond is based on a strong infrared absorption in the 975 cm^{-1} region. In order to obtain the trans-adduct the mercurinium ion 9 must be formed by addition of XHg^+ cis to the methylene group on the adjacent double bond. Stereospecific acidolysis of the solvolysis product 10 would then afford the observed products with a trans-double bond. Since the thermodynamic stability of the products seems to be important in these reactions, it may be suggested that the transition states for solvolysis of the mercurinium ions in the above reactions, as well as with linear allenes,⁴ should more closely resemble the products¹¹ than the starting dienes. The stereochemistry of the products should then be determined to a large extent by the

difference in free energy between the cisoid and transoid transition states leading to the <u>cis</u> and <u>trans</u> allylic products. This argument is consistent with the exclusive formation of the thermodynamically more stable c<u>is</u> isomer from **l**. If the above argument is correct it is quite likely that the mercurinium ions involved in these reactions are not linear x-complexes, **but that** considerable rehybridization at C_1 and C_2 has occurred. Waters and Kiefer⁴ have previously invoked a o-bonded mercurinium ion to explain their results employing a steric argument.

The reaction of 1,2-disubstituted allenes with HgO.BF₃ in alcohol solvents should prove to be a general method for the synthesis of allylic alcohols and ethers. The in situ acidolysis reaction has the advantage of not having to reduce an organomercurial to the alcohol addition product. In addition, only catalytic quantities (approximately 5 mole percent) of mercuric salt are required. In a typical experiment 0.4 g of $\underline{1}$ in 0.5 ml of ethanol was added dropwise to 0.06 g of red mercuric oxide and 40 μ l of boron trifluoride etherate in 0.5 ml of ethanol. The reaction mixture stirred for 1-5 hr at room temperature and after extraction the product was isolated by distillation or by vpc. Although mercuric sulfate has traditionally been used with cone. sulfuric acid for the hydration of acetylenes and allenes, boron trifluoride etherate has proven to be an equally effective acid catalyst. Our results are summarized in Table 1.

Additions to 1,2-Cyclononadlene

a_A co-solvent must be used to achieve a homogenous reaction mixture. Best results were obtained

by using acetone-water (10:1).
^DAll yields are based on <u>isolated</u> material. Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for all
new compounds. The nmr spectra were in accord with the proposed structures. _____________

We are grateful to Dr. William R. Moore for helpful discussions during the course of this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Will predoctoral fellow 1964-67. All correspondence should be addressed to University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455.
- 2. (a) J. Chatt, Chem. Rev., 48, 7 (1951); (b) N. S. Zefirov, Russ. Chem. Rev., 34, 527 (1965); W. Kitching, Organometal. Chem. Rev., 3, 61 (1968).
- 3. R. K. Sharma, B. A. Shoulders, and P. D. Gardner, J. Org. Chem., 32, 241 (1967).
- 4. W. L. Waters and E. F. Kiefer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 6261 (1967).
- 5. W. L. Waters and M. C. Caserio, Abstracts 154th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, Ill., Sept. (1967), 5-157.
- 6. R. D. Bach, Ph.D. thesis, MIT (1967). The 1,2-cyclononadiene used in this experiment had $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{n}^{25}$ - 145.3⁰ (\subseteq 2.417 methylene chloride) while the optically active diene used in the other experiments had $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}^{25}$ - 81.8° (C 2.28 methylene chloride).
- 7. M. M. Kreevoy and R. A. Landholm, J. Chem. Kinetics (in press).
- 8. A. A. Petrov and A. V. Fedorova, Russ. Chem. Rev., 33, 1 (1964).
- 9. G. F. Henneon and J. J. Sheehan, JACS, 71, 1964 (1949); M. Bouis, Compt. Rend., 182, 790 (1926); Ann. Chim., 9, 402 (1928).
- 10. M. Santelli, M. Bertrand and M. Ronce, Bull. Soc. Chim., France, 3273 (1964).
- 11. M. M. Kreevoy, Bull. Soc. Chim., France, 2431 (1963).